

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065 rvannest@kvn.com CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325 canderson@kvn.com DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424 dpurcell@kvn.com 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 KING & SPALDING LLP BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice) bbaber@kslaw.com 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 556-2100 Facsimile: (212) 556-2222 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
15	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA			
16	Plaintiffs,	GOOGLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE			
17	V.	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF GOOGLE INC.'S OPPOSITION TO ORACLE'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 7			
18	GOOGLE INC.,				
19	Defendant.	Dept. Courtroom 8, 19 th Fl.			
20	20101101111	Judge: Hon. William Alsup			
21					
22		1			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1 2 3

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") hereby moves to file under seal the following documents:

- Portions of Google's Opposition to Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 7
- Portions of Exhibit A to the Declaration of Maya Karwande in support of Google's Opposition to Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 7

I. INTRODUCTION

Google requests that the Court allow Google to file the documents listed above under seal. On April 14, 2016, Google filed a Response to Oracle's Motion in Limine #7 which, by necessity, contains non-public, highly sensitive information important to Google's business and therefore should be filed under seal. In support of its request to seal, Google has identified just two numbers that contains highly sensitive Google financial information that is subject to sealing. See Declaration of Renny Hwang in Support of Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Google's Opposition to Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 7 ("Hwang Decl.").

II. **DISCUSSION**

The material that Google seeks to file under seal constitutes non-public, A. highly-sensitive, and confidential financial and trade secret information.

A compelling justification to seal pleadings exists when disclosure of "business information . . . might harm a company's competitive standing." Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006); N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 79-5(a). Courts have therefore concluded that it is appropriate to seal documents containing sensitive financial information and similar trade secrets information. Clark v. Bunker, 453 F.2d 1006, 1009 (9th Cir. 1972); Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd., 727 F.3d 1214, 1224-26 (Fed. Cir. 2013) (district court abused discretion by not sealing portions of documents containing sensitive financial information).

In this case, the non-public information that Google seeks to file under seal constitutes highly sensitive financial information. Hwang Decl. ¶ 3. Public disclosure of this information could therefore "have significant negative effects on Google's business." Id. Because disclosure of this "business information . . . might harm [Google's] competitive standing," there is a

1	compelling justification to seal information of the kind described above. <i>Nixon</i> , 435 U.S. at 598.				
2	Indeed, the Court has previously granted motions to seal these types of materials in this case.				
3	See, e.g., ECF No. 1541 (granting motion to seal Google financial information); ECF. No. 1375				
4	(same).				
5	For the reasons stated above, Google seeks to seal the portions of Google's Opposition to				
6	Oracle's Motion in Limine No. 7 and Exhibit A to the Karwande declaration listed in the Hwang				
7	Declaration on the grounds that they contain Google's non-public, highly sensitive financial				
8	information. Hwang Decl. ¶ 3.				
9	III. CONCLUSION				
10	For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court issue an order				
11	granting Google's request to file the foregoing document under seal.				
12					
13	Dated	l: April 14, 2016		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP	
14					
15			By:	/s/ Robert A. Van Nest ROBERT A. VAN NEST	
16				CHRISTA M. ANDERSON DANIEL PURCELL	
17				Attorneys for Defendant	
18				GOOGLE INC.	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28			2		